



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/777,368	02/12/2004	Andrew J. Ritz	MS306248.1/MSFTP553US	5086
27195 7590 02/22/2008 AMIN, TUROCY & CALVIN, LLP 24TH FLOOR, NATIONAL CITY CENTER 1900 EAST NINTH STREET CLEVELAND, OH 44114			EXAMINER LEE, CHUN KUAN	
			ART UNIT 2181	PAPER NUMBER
			NOTIFICATION DATE 02/22/2008	DELIVERY MODE ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

docket1@thepatentattorneys.com
hholmes@thepatentattorneys.com
osteuball@thepatentattorneys.com

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/777,368

Applicant(s)

RITZ ET AL.

Examiner

Chun-Kuan Lee

Art Unit

2181

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Alford Kindred (SPE).

(3) Nilesh Amin (Attorney Reg. # 58,407).

(2) Chun-Kuan Lee (Examiner).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 11 February 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: N/A.

Identification of prior art discussed: N/A.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Please see Continuation Sheet below.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.


ALFORD KINDRED
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

The interview mainly discussed the access table of the invention, where applicant's attorney indicated that the access table is one of the core elements of the invention, and further clarifying that the compact construction of the access table (as supported by Figure 3) allows for an inherent faster accessing of a DMA channel. Additionally, the applicant's attorney also indicated that the memory controller is strictly software with regard to the functionality of accessing the access table, and the support for a field being singular is by having the information associated with the field located within the singular column, as shown in Figure 3 for fields such as access attribute field.

No agreements were reached during the interview.

UNOFFICIAL COMMUNICATION FOR EXAMINER REVIEW ONLY – PLEASE DO NOT ENTER

PATENT

MS306248.01/MSFTP553US

LAW OFFICES

AMIN, TUROCY & CALVIN LLP24TH FLOOR, NATIONAL CITY CENTER

1900 EAST NINTH STREET

CLEVELAND, OHIO 44114

TELEPHONE: 310-428-4640

FACSIMILE: 216-696-8731

EMAIL: NAMIN@THEPATENTATTORNEYS.COM

FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION

THIS MESSAGE IS INTENDED ONLY FOR THE USE OF THE INDIVIDUAL OR ENTITY TO WHICH IT IS ADDRESSED AND MAY CONTAIN INFORMATION THAT IS PRIVILEGED, CONFIDENTIAL AND EXEMPT FROM DISCLOSURE UNDER APPLICABLE LAW. IF THE READER OF THIS MESSAGE IS NOT THE INTENDED RECIPIENT OR THE EMPLOYEE OR AGENT RESPONSIBLE FOR DELIVERING THE MESSAGE TO THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED THAT ANY DISSEMINATION, DISTRIBUTION OR COPYING OF THIS COMMUNICATION IS STRICTLY PROHIBITED. IF YOU HAVE RECEIVED THIS COMMUNICATION IN ERROR, PLEASE NOTIFY US IMMEDIATELY, AND RETURN THE ORIGINAL MESSAGE TO US AT THE ADDRESS LISTED BELOW VIA UNITED STATES MAIL. THANK YOU.

DATE: February 6, 2008

TO: Chun Kuan Lee – United States Patent and Trademark Office

FAX NO.: 571.273.0671

FROM: Nilesch Amin

RE: MEETING AGENDA for Interview

In re patent application of:

Applicant(s): Andrew Ritz, *et al.*

Examiner: Chun Kuan Lee

Serial No: 10/777,368

Art Unit: 2181

Filing Date: February 12, 2004

Title: SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR DETECTING DMA-GENERATED MEMORY
CORRUPTION IN A PCI EXPRESS BUS SYSTEM

NUMBER OF PAGES (INCLUDING THIS PAGE): 13

UNOFFICIAL COMMUNICATION FOR EXAMINER REVIEW ONLY – PLEASE DO NOT ENTER

10/777,368

MS306248.01/MSFTP553US

Dear Examiner Lee:

Following is an agenda and proposed amendments for a telephonic interview regarding the subject patent application. This agenda is provided to facilitate our discussion during the interview.

I appreciate the opportunity to discuss this matter with you, and thank you for your time and consideration.

Please call me at 310-428-4640 to arrange a date and time that is convenient for you to conduct the interview.

Sincerely,
Nilesh Amin

AGENDA

- I. Introductions.
- II. Clarification of Examiner's interpretation of prior art and discussion of amendments in light of rejection of Claims 1-22 Under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as detailed below, with particular focus on the limitations surrounding *source identifier field*, *memory address field* and *access attribute field* in the independent claims.
- III. Summary of interview.

UNOFFICIAL COMMUNICATION FOR EXAMINER REVIEW ONLY – PLEASE DO NOT ENTER

10/777,368

MS306248.01/MSFTP553US

AMENDMENTS TO THE CLAIMS

This listing of claims will replace all prior versions of claims in the application:

Listing of Claims:

1. (Currently Amended) A direct memory access memory corruption detection system embodied on a computer readable medium comprising the following computer executable components:

an access data store that stores access information associated with memory, the access data store comprising an access table, the access table comprising a source identifier field, a memory address field and an access attribute field, the access attribute field distinguishes between read, read and write, write, and no access to indicate read, read and write, write, or no access for a combination of source associated with the access attribute and memory address range associated with the access attribute identified in the source identifier field and memory address field; and.

a memory controller that employs the access information to determine whether a requested direct memory access is permitted and rejects the requested direct memory access if it is not permitted and allows the requested direct memory access if it is permitted.

2. (Previously Presented) The direct memory access memory corruption detection system of claim 1, the access information comprising a direct memory access request.

3. (Previously Presented) The direct memory access memory corruption detection system of claim 2, the direct memory access request comprising a transaction type.

4. (Previously Presented) The direct memory access memory corruption detection system of claim 1, the direct memory access request comprising a source identifier.

UNOFFICIAL COMMUNICATION FOR EXAMINER REVIEW ONLY – PLEASE DO NOT ENTER

10/777,368

MS306248.01/MSFTP553US

5. (Original) The direct memory access memory corruption detection system of claim 4, the source identifier being associated with a device.
6. (Cancelled).
7. (Original) The direct memory access memory corruption detection system of claim 1, the access information comprising at least one permitted memory address.
8. (Original) The direct memory access memory corruption detection system of claim 1, the access information comprising at least one disallowed memory address.
9. (Original) The direct memory access memory corruption detection system of claim 1, the request comprising a read action or a write action.
10. (Previously Presented) The direct memory access memory corruption detection system of claim 1, the request comprising a peripheral component interconnect express bus transaction.
11. (Previously Presented) The direct memory access memory corruption detection system of claim 1, the memory controller coupled to a device through a peripheral component interconnect express bus, the device providing the request.
12. (Original) The direct memory access memory corruption detection system of claim 1, the memory controller further providing error information, if the requested direct memory access is not permitted.
13. (Original) The direct memory access memory corruption detection system of claim 12, the error information comprising source information associated with the requested direct memory access.

UNOFFICIAL COMMUNICATION FOR EXAMINER REVIEW ONLY – PLEASE DO NOT ENTER

10/777,368

MS306248.01/MSFTP553US

14. (Currently Amended) A direct memory access memory corruption detection system embodied on a computer readable medium comprising the following computer executable components:

a memory controller that includes an access data store comprising an access table, the access table comprising a source identifier field, a memory address field and an access attribute field, the access attribute field contains an access attribute that distinguishes between read, read and write, write, and no access to indicate read, read and write, write, or no access for a combination of source associated with the access attribute and memory address range associated with the access attribute identified in the source identifier field and memory address field an access table store that stores access information associated with memory, the access information comprising at least one source identifier, at least one memory address and at least one access attribute, an access attribute distinguishes from amongst read, read and write, write, and no access to indicate read, read and write, write, or no access for a combination of source and memory range identified by a source identifier associated with the access attribute and a memory address associated with the access attribute, wherein the access attribute contains data indicating read when the source identified by the source identifier associated with the access attribute is only permitted to read the memory address range associated with the access attribute, wherein the access attribute contains data indicating write when the source identified by the source identifier associated with the access attribute is only permitted to write to the memory address range associated with the access attribute, wherein the access attribute contains data indicating read and write when the source identified by the source identifier associated with the access attribute is permitted to read and write to the memory address range associated with the access attribute, wherein the access attribute contains data indicating no access when the source identified by the source identifier associated with the access attribute is not permitted access to the memory address range associated with the access attribute, the memory controller employs the access information to determine whether a requested direct memory access is permitted and

UNOFFICIAL COMMUNICATION FOR EXAMINER REVIEW ONLY – PLEASE DO NOT ENTER

10/777,368

MS306248.01/MSFTP553US

rejects the requested direct memory access if it is not permitted and allows the requested direct memory access if it is permitted; and,

a device driver that programs a device for a direct memory access operation, and, provides the access information to the memory controller *via* a direct memory access application interface.

15. (Previously Presented) The direct memory access memory corruption detection system of claim 14, the device driver providing access information comprising a range of physical memory, a source identifier, and, an access attribute.

16. (Previously Presented) The direct memory access memory corruption detection system of claim 14, the request comprising a peripheral component interconnect express bus transaction.

17. (Currently Amended) A method that facilitates detection of direct memory access memory corruption comprising:

receiving a request for a direct memory access transaction, the request comprising a source identifier, at least one memory address, and ~~an transaction~~ access attribute; and,

determining whether the request is permitted based, at least in part on, stored access information and the request, the stored access information comprising at least one source identifier, at least one memory address range and at least one access attribute, an access attribute distinguishes between read, read and write, write, and no access to indicate read, read and write, write, or no access for a combination of source associated with the access attribute and memory range associated with the access attribute identified by the at least one source identifier and at least one memory address range; and

rejecting the requested direct memory access if it is not permitted and allowing the direct memory access if it is permitted.

18. (Cancelled)

UNOFFICIAL COMMUNICATION FOR EXAMINER REVIEW ONLY – PLEASE DO NOT ENTER

10/777,368

MS306248.01/MSFTP553US

19. (Currently Amended) The method of claim 17, storing access information in a access data store, the access information comprising at least one source identifier, at least one memory address range and at least one an access attribute.

20. (Original) A computer readable medium having stored thereon computer executable instructions for carrying out the method of claim 17.

21. (Currently Amended) A data packet transmitted between two or more components embodied on a computer readable medium that facilitates detection of direct memory access memory corruption, the data packet comprising:

a data field comprising a corrected platform error event, the corrected platform error event being based, at least in part, upon a determination that a requested direct memory access is not permitted, the determination being based, at least in part, upon access information stored in an access table and the requested direct memory access, the access information comprising at least one source identifier, at least one memory address range and at least one access attribute, the at least one access attribute distinguishes from amongst read, read and write, write, and no access to indicate read, read and write, write, or no access for a combination of source and memory address range identified by the at least one source identifier and at least one memory address range associated with the at least one access attribute.

UNOFFICIAL COMMUNICATION FOR EXAMINER REVIEW ONLY – PLEASE DO NOT ENTER

10/777,368

MS306248.01/MSFTP553US

22. (Currently Amended) A direct memory access memory corruption detection system embodied on a computer readable medium comprising:
- means for storing access information associated with memory;
 - means for receiving a request for a direct memory access;
 - means for determining whether a requested direct memory access is permitted based, at least in part, upon the stored access information and the request, the stored access information comprising at least one source identifier, at least one memory address range and at least one access attribute, the at least one access attribute distinguishes between read, read and write, write, and no access to indicate one of read, read and write, write, or no access for a combination of source and memory address range identified by the at least one source identifier and at least one memory address range associated with the at least one access attribute; and,
 - means for rejecting the requested direct memory access if it is not permitted and allowing the direct memory access if it is permitted.

UNOFFICIAL COMMUNICATION FOR EXAMINER REVIEW ONLY – PLEASE DO NOT ENTER

10/777,368

MS306248.01/MSFTP553US

REMARKS

Claims 1-5, 7-17 and 19-22 are currently pending in the subject application and are presently under consideration. Claims 1, 14, 17, 19, 21 and 2 have been amended as shown at pages 2-6 of the Reply.

Favorable reconsideration of the subject patent application is respectfully requested in view of the comments and amendments herein.

I. Rejection of Claims 1-5, 7-17 and 19-22 Under 35 U.S.C. §103(a)

Claims 1-5, 7-17 and 19-22 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Safranek et al. (US 2004/0193755) in view of Kondratiev et al. (US 6,922,740). It is respectfully submitted that this rejection should be withdrawn for at least the following reasons. Safranek *et al.* and Kondratiev *et al.*, alone or in combination, do not teach each and every element of applicants' invention as recited in the subject claims.

“Under 35 U.S.C. 103 where the examiner has relied on the teachings of several references, the test is whether or not the references viewed individually and collectively would have suggested the claimed invention to the person possessing ordinary skill in the art. It is to be noted, however, that citing references which merely indicated that isolated elements and/or features recited in the claims are known is not a sufficient basis for concluding that the combination of claimed elements would have been obvious. That is to say, there should be something in the prior art or a convincing line of reasoning in the answer suggesting the desirability of combining the references in such a manner as to arrive at the claimed invention... [I]t would not have been obvious to modify [the prior art] ... without using [the patent application's] claims as a guide. It is to be noted that simplicity and hindsight are not proper criteria for resolving the issue of obviousness.” *Ex parte Hyamizu*, 10 USPQ2d 1393 (BPAI 1988).

Independent claim 1 recites *an access data store that stores access information associated with memory, the access data store comprising an access table, the access table comprising a source identifier field, a memory address field and an access attribute field, the access attribute field distinguishes between read, read and write, write, and no access to*

UNOFFICIAL COMMUNICATION FOR EXAMINER REVIEW ONLY – PLEASE DO NOT ENTER

10/777,368

MS306248.01/MSFTP553US

indicate read, read and write, write, or no access for a combination of source associated with the access attribute and memory address range associated with the access attribute identified in the source identifier field and memory address field; and a memory controller that employs the access information to determine whether a requested direct memory access is permitted and rejects the requested direct memory access if it is not permitted.

As conceded in the Office Action, Sanfrank *et al.* does not teach or suggest the aforementioned novel aspects of applicant's invention as recited in the subject claims. The cited art discloses a method for preventing non-CPU devices from accessing protected memory. This is accomplished by maintaining a NODMA memory cache where each bit in the cache represents a page of memory. The setting of the bit (0 or 1) determines if the associated memory page is protected. If a memory access request for a page comes from a non-CPU device and the NODMA cache indicates that the page is protected, the access will be denied. However, this provides very fine control of memory pages, but lacks the combined source, memory, and access type control of the subject claim. Kondratiev *et al.* is cited to make up for the above noted deficiencies of Sanfrank *et al.*, but also fails to teach all novel features of the subject claim. Kondratiev *et al.* teaches a system for controlling DMA access from devices. The Office Action cites Figure 2 and column 4, lines 40-65 as teaching the *source identifier field, memory address field and access attribute field* of the subject claim. However, the cited art discloses a table that contains rows containing device ID field, read memory range field, write memory range field and duration field. This provides an access control list that indicates memory ranges a device is allowed to access. The table *only* indicates memory ranges that are allowed access. It does not provide the ability to directly specify a memory range that is not allowed access. Moreover, read and write access are indicated in two separate fields by specifying a memory address range in each of the two separate read memory range and write memory range fields. This table of the cited reference fails to teach an access attribute field as recited in the subject claim. The access attribute in applicant's claimed invention provides within a single field an indication distinguishing between both allowed and disallowed access information including access type. This provides allowed and disallowed control information to be stored together, as well as providing both types of information for a single device. For example, the table can have an entry

UNOFFICIAL COMMUNICATION FOR EXAMINER REVIEW ONLY – PLEASE DO NOT ENTER

10/777,368

MS306248.01/MSFTP553US

for device A indicating read access for memory range X and another entry for device A indicating no access for memory range Z. In another example, the table could have an entry for device B indicating no access for memory range Y, thereby allowing it access to all memory ranges except Y. Using the combination of a source identifier field, a memory address field and an access attribute field to define allowed and disallowed access provides for more robust and efficient definition of memory access privileges using reduced table space. Sanfrank et al. and Kondratiev et al. fail to disclose this novel feature disclosed in the subject claim.

Furthermore, independent claim 14 recites *a memory controller that includes an access data store comprising an access table, the access table comprising a source identifier field, a memory address field and an access attribute field, the access attribute field contains an access attribute that distinguishes between read, read and write, write, and no access to indicate read, read and write, write, or no access for a combination of source associated with the access attribute and memory address range associated with the access attribute identified in the source identifier field and memory address field, an access attribute distinguishes from amongst read, read and write, write, and no access to indicate read, read and write, write, or no access for a combination of source and memory address range identified by a source identifier associated with the access attribute and a memory address range associated with the access attribute, wherein the access attribute contains data indicating read when the source identified by the source identifier associated with the access attribute is only permitted to read the memory address range associated with the access attribute, wherein the access attribute contains data indicating write when the source identified by the source identifier associated with the access attribute is only permitted to write to the memory address range associated with the access attribute, wherein the access attribute contains data indicating read and write when the source identified by the source identifier associated with the access attribute is permitted to read and write to the memory address range associated with the access attribute, wherein the access attribute contains data indicating no access when the source identified by the source identifier associated with the access attribute is not permitted access to the memory address range associated with the access attribute, the memory controller employs the access information to determine whether a requested direct memory access is permitted and rejects the requested*

UNOFFICIAL COMMUNICATION FOR EXAMINER REVIEW ONLY – PLEASE DO NOT ENTER

10/777,368

MS306248.01/MSFTP553US

direct memory access if it is not permitted and allows the requested direct memory access if it is permitted. As discussed above both Sanfrank et al. and Kondratiev et al. fail to teach or suggest an access attribute that can distinguish between all of read, write, read and write, and no access for a specified source and memory range. The references take a more data intensive approach by either mapping the entire memory space to bit references or requiring separate fields for read and write access. These approaches provide less control for memory access and require more data table space. As recited in the subject claim, the access attribute has specific indicators for each of read, write, read and write, and no access for a specified source and memory range.

Moreover independent 17 recites receiving a request for a direct memory access transaction, the request comprising a source identifier, at least one memory address, and an access attribute; and, determining whether the request is permitted based, at least in part on, stored access information and the request, the stored access information comprising at least one source identifier, at least one memory address range and at least one access attribute, an access attribute distinguishes between read, read and write, write, and no access to indicate read, read and write, write, or no access for a combination of source associated with the access attribute and memory range associated with the access attribute identified by the at least one source identifier and at least one memory address range. As noted supra both Sanfrank et al. and Kondratiev et al. fail to teach or suggest an access attribute that can distinguish between all of read, write, read and write, and no access for a specified source and memory range within a single attribute. Sanfrank et al. is not concerned with what type of access is allowed, just whether access is allowed or not. Kondratiev et al. employs two data fields to indicate read memory range and write memory range. The combination fails to disclose an access attribute that can distinguish between all of read, write, read and write, and no access for a specified source and memory range.

Additionally, independent claim 21 recites a data field comprising a corrected platform error event, the corrected platform error event being based, at least in part, upon a determination that a requested direct memory access is not permitted, the determination being based, at least in part, upon access information stored in an access table and the requested direct memory access, the access information comprising at least one source identifier, at least

UNOFFICIAL COMMUNICATION FOR EXAMINER REVIEW ONLY – PLEASE DO NOT ENTER

10/777,368

MS306248.01/MSFTP553US

one memory address range and at least one access attribute, the at least one access attribute distinguishes from amongst read, read and write, write, and no access to indicate read, read and write, write, or no access for a combination of source and memory address range identified by the at least one source identifier and at least one memory address range associated with the at least one access attribute. As previously discussed Sanfrank et al. and Kondratiev et al., alone or in combination, fail to teach or suggest an access attribute that can distinguish between all of read, write, read and write, and no access for a specified source and memory range.

Independent claim 22 recites *means for determining whether a requested direct memory access is permitted based, at least in part, upon the stored access information and the request, the stored access information comprising at least one source identifier, at least one memory address range and at least one access attribute, the at least one access attribute distinguishes between read, read and write, write, and no access to indicate one of read, read and write, write, or no access for a combination of source and memory address range identified by the at least one source identifier and at least one memory address range associated with the at least one access attribute.* Sanfrank et al. and Kondratiev et al., alone or in combination, fail to teach or suggest an access attribute that can distinguish between all of read, write, read and write, and no access for a specified source and memory range. As such, the cited references fail to teach all novel aspects of the subject claim.

Accordingly, applicants' representative respectfully submits that Sanfrank et al. and Kondratiev et al., alone or in combination, fail to teach or suggest all limitations of applicants' invention as recited in independent claims 1, 14, 17, 21 and 22 (and claims 2-5, 7-13, 15, 16, 19 and 20 that depend there from) and thus fails to make obvious the subject claimed invention. For this reason, this rejection should be withdrawn.